168	Saddhammopāyana Translation
Vyu	Mahavyutpatti 2nd edn, St Petersburg 1911 (I.D. Mironov)
¥11	Milinda-Pañha (Trenckner), London 1880
Vin	Vinaya-Pitaka (Oldenberg), I-V, London 1879-83
Vibh	Vibhanga
٧v	Vimāna-vatthu
Vism	Visuddhi-magga
SN	Samyutta-Nikaya
Saddh	Saddhammopāyana
Saddh-s	Saddhammopāyana-sannaya (:Abhayagiri (Kavi-) Cakravarti Ānanda, PLC p.212); Sinhalese Print, Colombo 1874 (Batuvantudāvē), 2nd edn 1911
Samantak	Samantakutavannana
Sn	Sutta-nipata
Sv	Sumangala-vilāsinī. Ct. on DN. Bangkok

OTHER TEXTS

Rtusamhāra of Kālidāsa

(vol.I),

Rtus

Jat-m

Hew. Begu. 1918

1920 plus (vol.I) PTS (RhD), 1886 +

Jatakamala (see Bib. s.v. Spever)

Divy	Divyavadana (Cowell & Neil), Cambridge
	1886
Dharmapr	Dharmapradīpikā (see Bib.s.v.
	Dharmarama)
Y vu	Mahavastu (Senart), I-III, Paris 1882-97
M kp	Mārkandeya Purāna (see Bib. s.v.
	Pargiter)
Śikṣā-s	Sikṣā-samuccaya (see Bib. s.v. Bendall)
sus	Saddharma-smrti-upasthana (see. Bib. s.v.
	Matsunaga)

TWO NOTES ON Visuddhimagga IX.

I. The etymology of puggala.

Buddhaghosa's etymological explanation of *puggala* is well-known: it has been remarked on in the *PED s.v. puggala*. The text¹ reads:

Pun ti vuccati nirayo, tasmim galantī ti puggalā; gacchantī ti attho.²

"There is a hell called pun; they are called $puggal\bar{a}$ ('individuals') because they slip into it, meaning that they go to it."

This is the kind of etymology found in many religious traditions; it is not to be understood as a linguistic comment but rather as a didactic remark. While such homiletic observations are not always as fussy about phonetics as linguists have to be, one may wonder why Buddhaghosa should have chosen an analysis of the word *puggala* which should yield *pungala*.

Buddhaghosa is referring to the brahminical etymology found in *Manu*:

Punnāmno narakād yasmāt trāyate pitaram sutaḥ tasmāt putra iti proktaḥ svayam eva svayaṃbhuvā.³

"The self-existent one himself called a son putra, because he saves $(tr\bar{a}yate)$ his father from a hell⁴ called put."

This in turn goes back to Yāska:

Putraḥ puru trāyate niparaṇād vā puṃ narakaṃ tatas trāyata iti vā.⁵

"The word is *putra* either because he saves much (*puru*) from offering rice to the dead or because *put* is a hell and he saves from that."

In both these passages I have translated the name of the hell as put although the Sanskrit reads pun (of which pum is, before n, a mere graphic variant). The sandhi makes it ambiguous

whether the name is pun or put. However, the former would yield puntra, whereas the latter yields puttra. According to Pāṇini a consonant other than h can always be doubled before or after r, so puttra and putra are truly equivalent.⁶

If Buddhaghosa was calling the hell put, his etymology would work for Sanskrit just as well as for Pali: by regular sandhi it would yield pudgala, the Sanskrit form of puggala. His etymology of puggala, whether or not he invented it, is clearly a humorous and satirical reference to the brahminical etymology of putra. It makes little sense to posit that he knew the Sanskrit "etymology" without knowing its phonetics. So I propose that we must emend the text to read "Put ti vuccati ...".

II. An imperfect form in Pali.

A few paragraphs further on in the text, Buddhaghosa uses the form abhāsatha, "he said". It comes at the end of an anustubh line, so it is fairly well guaranteed by the metre. It is of course parallel to Sanskrit abhāsata, and the aspiration of the t recalls both the ātmanepada aorist 3 sg. forms in -ttha and the aspiration of the parasmaipada imperative 2 pl. which gives us forms like hotha for the imperative as well as for the indicative. Several similar forms have been recorded by Geiger8: ajāyatha, samapajjatha, etc. These and other forms listed by Geiger as "of imperfect origin" make one wonder whether the description of Pali as lacking the imperfect (Sanskrit: lan) is due to anything but the dead hand of tradition. Be that as it may, I mention abhāsatha here because the form, found close to the etymology discussed above, suggests to me that Buddhaghosa was thinking in Sanskrit. This in turn may serve to corroborate the tradition that he was born an Indian brahmin.

OXFORD

RICHARD GOMBRICH

NOTES

¹ I use the edition by H.C. Warren and D. Kosambi in the *Harvard Oriental Series* (Cambridge, Mass., 1950) and follow their punctuation.

² IX,54, p. 257.

³ Manusmrti IX,138.

⁴ In both Sanskrit passages I have translated "a hell", because brahmins believe in many hells; but the translation "hell, which is called *put*" is also possible.

⁵ Yāska, Naighantuka Kānda 2,3,2, ed. Satyavrata Sāmaśramī, Bibliotheca Indica (Calcutta, 1885), Vol. II, p. 199.

 $^{^6}$ Puttra can be formed for putra by VIII,4,7: anaci ca. This rule in fact permits any consonant but h to be doubled when it is not followed by a vowel, i.e., when it stands first in a consonant cluster or is final. I am grateful to Dr. J.W. Benson for this reference.

⁷ IX,67, p. 259.

⁸ Wilhelm Geiger, *Pāli Literature and Language*, tr. B. Ghosh (2nd ed., Calcutta, 1956), § 161.